

Santa Clara
University

Regulating Reputation Systems

Prof. Eric Goldman

Director, High Tech Law Institute

<http://www.ericgoldman.org> • <http://hightechlaw.scu.edu>

egoldman@gmail.com

Talk Overview

- Definitions
- Examples of Reputation Systems
- Regulation of Reputation Systems
- Lessons Learned

Reputation and Why It Matters

- Reputational information = information about an actor's past performance that helps predict the actor's future ability to perform/satisfy preferences
- Reputational information is crucial to the marketplace's proper functioning
 - Reputational information = invisible hand of the invisible hand
- Types of reputational systems:

Unmediated	Mediated
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Word of mouth• Job reference/recommendation letter	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Credit scores• Bond ratings• Consumer reviews (BBB; online)

Selected Online Consumer Review Sites

amazon.com®

ebay

Epinions.com
a Shopping.com company

yelp.

Ripoff Report.

Angie's list.

tripadvisor®
get the truth. then go.™

RealSelf.com

glassdoor.com

Avvo
Ratings. Guidance. The Right Lawyer.

RateMDs.com

RATE MY
PROFESSORS.COM

DON'T
DateHimGirl.com
Don't Date Him Girl Until You Check Him Out First!

player block

PunterNet



Selected Reputational Information Regulations

- Defamation/Privacy
 - First Amendment defenses such as public concern or opinion
 - Anti-SLAPP laws
 - 47 USC 230
- “Soft” torts (tortious interference, unfair competition, etc.). Ex: Cats & Dogs v. Yelp
- IP Laws
 - Trade Secret
 - Trademark law. Ex: Lifestyle Lift v. RealSelf
 - Copyright law. Ex: Medical Justice
- System-specific laws. Ex: Fair Credit Reporting Act

A Tale of Two Reputation Systems

- **#1: Job references**

- Former employer faces defamation/tortious omission liability for giving references
- State statutes immunize “good faith” references
- Nevertheless, job reference market has collapsed
- Demand for job reference information pushed to inferior sources

- **#2: Online consumer product reviews**

- Reviewers face defamation liability
- Review site operators protected by 47 USC 230
- Explosion of review sites and consumer reviews

Why the Difference? Hypotheses:

- **Structural difference: online product reviews are (mostly) mediated**
- **Differences in reviewers**
 - Online reviewers don't appreciate the legal risks they face
 - Employers are good litigation targets; online reviewers aren't
- **Differences in review consequences**
 - Single bad job reference can be life-changing
 - Employees have fewer legitimate evaluative sources
 - Job performance evaluations are inherently subjective

Some Possible Lessons

- Mediated reputation systems are more socially valuable than unmediated systems
 - Unmediated systems have high transaction costs
 - Finding reliable data sources
 - Assessing credibility
 - Policing errors
 - Mediated systems
 - Can capture and distill wisdom of the crowds
 - Can provide incentives to produce non-public information
 - Can establish the credibility of its information sources
 - Care about their own reputation (the tertiary invisible hand)

Some Possible Lessons (con't)

- Mediated systems flourish with liability immunization such as 47 USC 230
 - Avoids lopsided databases
 - Fosters experimentation
- Common attributes of successful mediated systems (*preliminary*)
 - Translucent algorithms + robust anti-gaming enforcement + no pay-to-play
 - Attributed sources
 - Right of reply